



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJAST_4242
Title of the Manuscript:	EXPERIMENTAL PRODUCTION OF SUSTAINABLE LIGHTWEIGHT CONCRETE FOR STRUCTURAL USES
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	There are no any interesting findings and conclusions in the paper. The authors should pay more attention on the mechanism of effects of fly ash on the properties of foamed concrete and try to find some way to improve its properties with high contents of fly ash.	I indeed appreciate the comment of the reviewer and i want to state that the papers have being reviewed and some other results have been added, a condition that makes it more interesting and some additional findings. Thanks.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Foamed lightweight concrete attracts more and more attentions because of its lightweight and good thermal properties. However, there were no any interesting findings in this paper. There are some papers focused on the fly ash addition to foamed concrete in the past and the conclusions of the paper are obvious	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		